

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/767,704	01/24/2001	Yoshihiro Ibaraki	000348-252	3681
75	590 06/18/2003			
E. Joseph Gess BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER	
			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NOMBER	
			ARI GNI	PAPER NOMBER
			1743	6
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)
€ <sub>f 3</sub> ;	09/767,704	IBARAKI ET AL.
. Office Action Summary		Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1743
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication	Samuel P Siefke	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication Period for Reply	appears on the devel enters	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	JIN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	irty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	restrction 5/5/03	
2h)\\\\\	This action is non-final.	
Za) Tills dodon to this is an dition for S	Nowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up Disposition of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (	D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are	withdrawn from consideratio	n.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b)  objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require	d in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	· -	
1 🖂 Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2 Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received i	in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action for	ne priority documents have be onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a or a list of the certified copies	een received in this National Stage a)). not received.
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	lomestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languates and the foreign languates are also as a claim for the foreign languates are also as a claim for the foreign languates.	age provisional application ha	as been received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	-948) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:

Application/Control Number: 09/767,704

**Art Unit: 1743** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 4,5 and 7-10 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search of further claims would not be of serious burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because response did not traverse the Examiner's reason for restriction, but only made comments about how the examination of the non-elected claims would not be of serious burden for the Examiner to examine.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims **4, 5** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsukamoto (USPN 6,060,034).

Tsukamoto discloses a process for the abatement of  $CIF_x$  containg gas such as exhaust gases from a reactor. Tsukamoto checks for saturation of such gases to be absorbed by placing a color indicator on a moist sodalime (solid treatment agent) to

Application/Control Number: 09/767,704

**Art Unit: 1743** 

detect when the cartridge is saturated (col. 2, lines 37-41). Tsukamoto teasches using curcumin as an indicator if detection of Cl<sub>2</sub> is expected.

Claims **7** and **9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ignacio et al. (USPN 6,287,518).

Ignacio discloses a sterilization monitor which comprises a monitoring composition which contains a dye, a halogen source and a buffer. The dye (bromocresol green; col. 6, line 39) is susceptible to halogenation in the presence of a halogen source (col. 3, lines 19-23; col. 6, lines 53, lines 53-60; col. 7, lines 50-60) and peracid and changes color as a result of the halogenation to provide an indication that peracid is present (col. 1, lines 24-35; col. 3, lines 10-39; col. 6, lines 10-65; col. 7, lines 50-60; claim 1). This reaction indicates that a halogen in the presence of a solid treatment agent (in this case the peracid when absorbed into the substrate 50 (decomposition catalyst, solid treatment agents or absorbents of the like) removes or decomposes halogen compounds (halogenation) which in turn provides for the reaction of the dye (indicator) to change a color.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,704

**Art Unit: 1743** 

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukamoto (USPN 6,060,034) in view of Clyde USPN 4,333,893).

Tsukamoto discloses a process for the abatement of  $CIF_x$  as can be seen in the discussion above.

Tsukamoto does not disclose the dye (indicator) be supported on alumina.

Clyde teaches individual high area contactors suitable for packing a column.

Alumina and sodalime actively discussed (col. 8, lines 40-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Tsukamoto to use alumina instead of sodalime because both exhibit good effective contact area.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 703-306-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1743

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

SPS June 11, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700